National Bureau of Standards
Library, N.W. Bldg
APR 2 1953

NBS

Technical Note 187

TRANSMISSION AND REFLECTION OF ELECTRONS BY ALUMINUM FOILS

MARTIN J. BERGER

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Functions and Activities

The functions of the National Bureau of Standards are set forth in the Act of Congress, March 3, 1901, as amended by Congress in Public Law 619, 1950. These include the development and maintenance of the national standards of measurement and the provision of means and methods for making measurements consistent with these standards; the determination of physical constants and properties of materials; the development of methods and instruments for testing materials, devices, and structures; advisory services to government agencies on scientific and technical problems; invention and development of devices to serve special needs of the Government; and the development of standard practices, codes, and specifications. The work includes basic and applied research, development, engineering, instrumentation, testing, evaluation, calibration services, and various consultation and information services. Research projects are also performed for other government agencies when the work relates to and supplements the basic program of the Bureau or when the Bureau's unique competence is required. The scope of activities is suggested by the listing of divisions and sections on the inside of the back cover.

Publications

The results of the Bureau's research are published either in the Bureau's own series of publications or in the journals of professional and scientific societies. The Bureau publishes three periodicals available from the Government Printing Office: The Journal of Research, published in four separate sections, presents complete scientific and technical papers; the Technical News Bulletin presents summary and preliminary reports on work in progress; and the Central Radio Propagation Laboratory Ionospheric Predictions provides data for determining the best frequencies to use for radio communications throughout the world. There are also five series of nonperiodical publications: Monographs, Applied Mathematics Series, Handbooks, Miscellaneous Publications, and Technical Notes.

A complete listing of the Bureau's publications can be found in National Bureau of Standards Circular 460, Publications of the National Bureau of Standards, 1901 to June 1947 ($1.25), and the Supplement to National Bureau of Standards Circular 460, July 1947 to June 1957 ($1.50), and Miscellaneous Publication 240, July 1957 to June 1960 (includes Titles of Papers Published in Outside Journals 1950 to 1959) ($2.25); available from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Technical Note 187

ISSUED APRIL 1, 1963

TRANSMISSION AND REFLECTION OF ELECTRONS BY ALUMINUM FOILS

Martin J. Berger

This work was supported in part by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, under Contract No. R-80.

NBS Technical Notes are designed to supplement the Bureau's regular publications program. They provide a means for making available scientific data that are of transient or limited interest. Technical Notes may be listed or referred to in the open literature.

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington 25, D.C. - Price 15 cents

Transmission and Reflection of Electrons by Aluminum Foils

Martin J. Berger

Electron transmission and reflection coefficients for aluminum foils, pertaining both to number and energy, are given for source energies between 0.125 and 2.0 Mev, source obliquities ranging from perpendicular to grazing incidence, and foil thicknesses up to one half of the electron mean range. The results were obtained by a Monte Carlo calculation.

1. Introduction

In the course of an investigation of the Monte Carlo method in application to the transport of fast charged particles, the author has had occasion to compute reflection and transmission coefficients for electrons incident on aluminum foils. These results were obtained in preliminary model studies; they disregard energy-loss straggling, and take into account only the deviation of the electron path from a straight line due to multiple Coulomb scattering.

For foils of small and intermediate thicknesses this approximation is actually quite good. Nevertheless, on account of the preliminary nature of the results, only some of them have been included for publication in [1].[1] It has been pointed out to the author that there is some interest in transmission and reflection data in connection with the shielding of space-vehicles against electrons encountered in the Van Allen belt. There is scarcity of such data, experimental or calculated, and the coverage of the preliminary results is greater than that available from other sources, and includes not only number - but also energy - reflection and transmission coefficients, and their dependence on direction of the incident electron beam as well as on its energy. Accordingly, these results are presented here, with the proviso that they are subject to future revision. Further work of this type,

---

[1] Figures in brackets indicate literature references at the end of this paper.

including the effect of energy-loss straggling and the associated bremsstrahlung emitted by the electrons, is currently in progress at the National Bureau of Standards.

2. Calculation

A beam of monoenergetic electrons, with energy E_o, is assumed to be incident in direction θ_o on a plane-parallel foil of aluminum of thickness z. The angle of incidence, θ_o, is the angle between the direction of incidence and the normal to the foil. Thus, the value $\theta_o = 0^o$ corresponds to perpendicular incidence, and the value $\theta_o = 90^o$ to the limiting case of grazing incidence. The azimuth of the direction of incidence does not enter into the calculation.

The following quantities are computed:

1. Number reflection coefficient,

$$R_N = \frac{\text{number of electrons reflected}}{\text{number of electrons incident}}$$

2. Number transmission coefficient,

$$T_N = \frac{\text{number of electrons transmitted}}{\text{number of electrons incident}}$$

3. Energy reflection coefficient,

$$R_E = \frac{\text{energy reflected}}{\text{energy incident}}$$

4. Energy transmission coefficient,

$$T_E = \frac{\text{energy transmitted}}{\text{energy incident}}$$

The calculation of these quantities is achieved by simulating the actual physical multiple scattering process by an (artificially constructed) random walk, each step of which takes into account the combined effect of many successive Coulomb scatterings. The transition probabilities for this random walk are obtained from the Bethe theory of electron stopping power, and the Moliére theory of multiple scattering. A detailed description of the procedures used can be found in [1].*

3. Results

It is useful to present reflection and transmission coefficients as functions of the "reduced foil thickness" z/r_o, where r_o is the mean range of an electron of energy E_o, i.e., the average rectified path-length it would travel in an unbounded medium from the time it has energy E_o until it comes to a stop. The reason for the introduction of the reduced thickness is a scaling law, suggested by theoretical considerations and verifiable empirically, which states that in first approximation, particularly for source energies E_o below 1 Mev, transmission and reflection coefficients are nearly independent of E_o, provided the foil thickness is expressed in units of z/r_o. In other words, the energy dependence of reflection and transmission is largely taken into account by the energy dependence of r_o. This facilitates interpolation of the Monte Carlo results with respect to E_o.

Table 1 contains values of the mean range at the energies treated in this report. Actually, two sets are shown: the first set does not take into account energy loss by bremsstrahlung, and corresponds to the actual input data used in the Monte Carlo calculation; the second set includes the effects of bremsstrahlung, and should preferably be used to convert actual to reduced foil thickness. Actually, there is a significant difference only at the highest source energy listed, 2 Mev.

Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5 contain reflection and transmission coefficients for electron number and energy. These are raw results, as they come off the computer, and could be smoothed and somewhat improved by cross-plotting them against source energy and obliquity, and against foil thickness. Three significant figures are given, but the last is not really significant and has been left in to facilitate cross-plotting and comparisons.

Reflection coefficients are listed only for $z/r_o \leq 0.3$ because a further increase of foil thickness does not further increase the amount of reflection. Transmission coefficients are listed only for $z/r_o \leq 0.5$, because for much greater thicknesses the neglect of energy

---

* In the terminology of [1], the calculations presented here are based on Model {I, PL(16, 96), EC, AM, DLT}.

loss straggling would begin to have serious consequences. The transmission coefficients plotted against z/r_o have an approximately straight slope between $z/r \doteq 0.3$ and 0.5. A linear extrapolation of this portion of the curve yields a lower limit for transmission, and the intercept, at zero-transmission, is the so-called extrapolated electron range.

For each source energy, the results for all values of θ_o and z/r_o were obtained in one run of n = 1000 Monte Carlo case histories. The standard deviations of the number reflection and transmission coefficients are

$$\sigma(R_N) = \sqrt{R_N(1 - R_N)/n}$$

$$\sigma(T_N) = \sqrt{T_N(1 - T_N)/n}$$

The standard deviations of the energy transmission and reflection coefficients have not been estimated directly. Experience with gamma-ray Monte Carlo problems indicates that their fractional standard deviations (ratio of standard deviation to the coefficient itself) is approximately 2-3 times greater than those for the number transmission and reflection coefficients.

It can be seen from tables 2-5 that the coefficients, particularly those for transmission, are rather independent of the value of E_o, at least up to 1 Mev, as has been predicted. For many purposes it is reasonable, therefore, to take coefficients averaged over a set of source energies, such as those given in table 6. The ratios T_E/T_N and R_E/R_N, also shown there, represent the ratios of the mean energy of the transmitted (reflected) electrons to the incident energy.

Table 7 compares number transmission coefficients for perpendicular incidence from this paper with results obtained by Perkins [2]. The calculation of Perkins is based on the same general principles as the present work, but differs in procedural details, and includes the effects of energy-loss straggling. The mean ranges assumed by Perkins (1.20 g/cm^2 at 2 Mev, 0.545 g/cm^2 at 1 Mev) differ somewhat from those used by us. In the comparison, his transmission curves, which he plotted against z/r_o, were first converted to an absolute thickness scale and then to a z/r_o scale using our values for r_o. For E_o = 2 Mev the agreement is quite good, and for E_o = 1 Mev it is fair. The transmission coefficients of Perkins are generally somewhat higher, which

at least in part can be ascribed to our disregard of energy-loss straggling. Perkins gives data at 2 Mev which indicate that for $z/r_o \sim 0.5$ the inclusion of energy-loss straggling may raise the transmission coefficient by about 3-4%. According to a private communication from him, he imposed a certain upper limit on the magnitude of the multiple scattering deflections in his calculation, which, he estimates, may have decreased his reflection coefficients from 10-20%. This of course is accompanied by a corresponding increase of his transmission coefficient and contributes to the discrepancy between his and our results.

In table 8, a comparison is made with experimental transmission data of Agu, Burdett and Matsukawa [3], the agreement being quite close. Further comparisons, as well as indications of the effect of the systematic errors due to the assumed Monte Carlo model of the calculation, will be found in [1]. Finally, it should be pointed out that the error of the energy transmission and reflection coefficients, due to the neglect of energy loss straggling, is likely to be larger than the corresponding error for the number transmission and reflection coefficients.

4. References

[1] M. J. Berger, Monte Carlo Calculations of the Penetration and Diffusion of Fast Charged Particles, to be published in "Methods in Computational Physics", B. Alder, S. Fernbach and M. Rotenberg, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, N.Y. (1963).

[2] J. F. Perkins, Monte Carlo Calculation of Transport of Fast Electrons, Phys. Rev. 126, 1781 (1962).

[3] B. N. C. Agu, T. Burdett and E. Matsukawa, Transmission of Electrons through Aluminum Foils, Proc. Phys. Soc. London, 71, 201 (1958).

Table 1. Mean Range of Electrons in Aluminum

E_o (Mev)	r_o, g/cm^2	
	Bremsstrahlung included	Bremsstrahlung not included
2.0	1.214	1.237
1.0	0.5501	0.556
0.5	0.2246	0.2258
0.25	0.08165	0.08196
0.125	0.02690	0.02706

Table 2. Number Transmission Coefficient, T_N

E_o (Mev)	θ_o (Degrees)	z/r_o				
		0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
2.0	0	0.988	0.962	0.847	0.689	0.469
	45	0.922	0.803	0.637	0.459	0.276
	60	0.804	0.648	0.483	0.340	0.161
	75	0.600	0.458	0.328	0.186	0.091
	90	0.237	0.178	0.106	0.064	0.026
1.0	0	0.983	0.925	0.785	0.608	0.373
	45	0.881	0.758	0.582	0.417	0.218
	60	0.766	0.608	0.445	0.283	0.145
	75	0.573	0.429	0.299	0.166	0.065
	90	0.233	0.170	0.114	0.067	0.021
0.5	0	0.972	0.890	0.751	0.559	0.361
	45	0.877	0.747	0.582	0.400	0.202
	60	0.768	0.619	0.451	0.270	0.129
	75	0.564	0.436	0.305	0.165	0.075
	90	0.254	0.197	0.114	0.069	0.023
0.25	0	0.977	0.894	0.738	0.538	0.306
	45	0.863	0.721	0.539	0.349	0.189
	60	0.733	0.575	0.408	0.249	0.122
	75	0.544	0.393	0.268	0.149	0.061
	90	0.237	0.167	0.109	0.064	0.021
0.125	0	0.967	0.880	0.731	0.531	0.321
	45	0.861	0.703	0.543	0.346	0.176
	60	0.744	0.570	0.414	0.242	0.113
	75	0.546	0.404	0.260	0.151	0.063
	90	0.230	0.168	0.116	0.059	0.023

Table 3. Energy Transmission Coefficient, T_E

E_o (Mev)	θ_o (Degrees)	z/r_o				
		0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
2.0	0	0.889	0.749	0.546	0.351	0.183
	45	0.780	0.556	0.360	0.205	0.094
	60	0.638	0.412	0.245	0.133	0.051
	75	0.437	0.260	0.148	0.067	0.025
	90	0.165	0.096	0.048	0.022	0.008
1.0	0	0.892	0.727	0.515	0.321	0.154
	45	0.757	0.538	0.343	0.193	0.080
	60	0.622	0.407	0.238	0.121	0.048
	75	0.434	0.259	0.146	0.064	0.019
	90	0.169	0.099	0.053	0.023	0.006
0.5	0	0.890	0.711	0.507	0.313	0.157
	45	0.765	0.546	0.357	0.200	0.081
	60	0.632	0.422	0.257	0.128	0.048
	75	0.442	0.280	0.161	0.070	0.024
	90	0.191	0.119	0.057	0.027	0.007
0.25	0	0.902	0.730	0.511	0.301	0.143
	45	0.757	0.535	0.338	0.181	0.079
	60	0.616	0.402	0.237	0.120	0.047
	75	0.432	0.258	0.144	0.067	0.022
	90	0.183	0.106	0.059	0.027	0.007
0.125	0	0.897	0.728	0.521	0.318	0.158
	45	0.763	0.537	0.354	0.191	0.081
	60	0.630	0.409	0.253	0.127	0.050
	75	0.439	0.274	0.152	0.075	0.025
	90	0.181	0.113	0.064	0.029	0.009

Table 4. Number Reflection Coefficient, R_N

E_o (Mev)	θ_o (Degrees)	z/r_o		
		0.1	0.2	0.3 or larger
2.0	0	0.008	0.015	0.023
	45	0.051	0.078	0.083
	60	0.130	0.159	0.161
	75	0.293	0.313	0.314
	90	0.711	0.719	0.720
1.0	0	0.017	0.061	0.090
	45	0.118	0.198	0.220
	60	0.231	0.318	0.330
	75	0.423	0.489	0.494
	90	0.766	0.792	0.796
0.5	0	0.028	0.087	0.108
	45	0.122	0.209	0.229
	60	0.230	0.304	0.316
	75	0.430	0.485	0.494
	90	0.745	0.774	0.782
0.25	0	0.023	0.069	0.097
	45	0.133	0.216	0.237
	60	0.264	0.349	0.360
	75	0.451	0.523	0.531
	90	0.763	0.796	0.798
0.125	0	0.033	0.093	0.121
	45	0.135	0.228	0.235
	60	0.253	0.339	0.347
	75	0.448	0.514	0.518
	90	0.765	0.785	0.788

Table 5. Energy Reflection Coefficient, R_E

E_o (Mev)	θ_o (Degrees)	z/r_o		
		0.1	0.2	0.3 or larger
2.0	0	0.008	0.015	0.023
	45	0.051	0.078	0.083
	60	0.130	0.159	0.162
	75	0.293	0.312	0.314
	90	0.711	0.719	0.721
1.0	0	0.013	0.035	0.044
	45	0.083	0.118	0.124
	60	0.168	0.204	0.208
	75	0.337	0.364	0.365
	90	0.714	0.724	0.725
0.5	0	0.021	0.050	0.057
	45	0.091	0.132	0.138
	60	0.174	0.209	0.212
	75	0.354	0.378	0.380
	90	0.702	0.714	0.715
0.25	0	0.018	0.043	0.053
	45	0.099	0.140	0.147
	60	0.204	0.245	0.249
	75	0.381	0.413	0.415
	90	0.720	0.735	0.735
0.125	0	0.026	0.061	0.072
	45	0.105	0.154	0.157
	60	0.201	0.254	0.248
	75	0.380	0.414	0.416
	90	0.725	0.733	0.734

Table 6. Average Transmission and Reflection Coefficients, Obtained by Averaging over the Results for Source Energies E_o = 1.0, 0.5, 0.25 and 0.125 Mev

z/r_o	θ_o (Degrees)	T_N	T_E	T_E/T_N	R_N	R_E	R_E/R_N
0.1	0	0.975	0.895	0.92	0.025	0.018	0.72
	45	0.871	0.760	0.87	0.127	0.095	0.75
	60	0.753	0.625	0.83	0.245	0.187	0.76
	75	0.557	0.436	0.78	0.438	0.363	0.83
	90	0.239	0.181	0.76	0.760	0.715	0.94
0.2	0	0.897	0.724	0.81	0.078	0.047	0.60
	45	0.732	0.539	0.74	0.213	0.136	0.64
	60	0.593	0.410	0.69	0.328	0.226	0.69
	75	0.416	0.268	0.64	0.503	0.392	0.78
	90	0.176	0.111	0.63	0.787	0.727	0.92
0.3	0	0.751	0.513	0.68	0.104	0.057	0.55
	45	0.562	0.348	0.62	0.230	0.142	0.62
	60	0.430	0.246	0.57	0.339	0.229	0.68
	75	0.283	0.151	0.53	0.509	0.394	0.77
	90	0.113	0.058	0.51	0.791	0.727	0.92
0.4	0	0.559	0.313	0.56			
	45	0.378	0.191	0.51			
	60	0.261	0.124	0.47			
	75	0.158	0.069	0.44			
	90	0.065	0.027	0.42			
0.5	0	0.340	0.153	0.45			
	45	0.196	0.080	0.41			
	60	0.127	0.048	0.38			
	75	0.066	0.023	0.35			
	90	0.022	0.007	0.32			

Table 7. Number Transmission Coefficients, T_N, for Perpendicular Incidence. Comparison with the Results of J. F. Perkins, [2]

E_o (Mev)	z/r_o	This Report	Perkins
2.0	0.2	0.962 ± 0.006	0.96
	0.3	0.847 ± 0.015	0.86
	0.4	0.689 ± 0.015	0.68
	0.5	0.469 ± 0.016	0.48
1.0	0.2	0.925 ± 0.008	0.94
	0.3	0.785 ± 0.013	0.83
	0.4	0.601 ± 0.015	0.64
	0.5	0.373 ± 0.015	0.42

Table 8. Number Transmission Coefficients, T_N, for Perpendicular Incidence. Comparison with the Results of Agu, Burdett and Matsukawa, [3]. The Experimental Results Represent an Average for Various Source Energies between .25 and .75 Mev. The Calculated Results are from Table 6.

z/r_o	Agu *et al* Experiment	Calculation
0.1	0.97	0.975 ± 0.003
0.2	0.88	0.897 ± 0.005
0.3	0.74	0.751 ± 0.007
0.4	0.54	0.559 ± 0.008
0.5	0.34	0.340 ± 0.008

☆ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1963 O - 678864

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Luther H. Hodges, *Secretary*

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
A. V. Astin, *Director*

THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The scope of activities of the National Bureau of Standards at its major laboratories in Washington, D.C., and Boulder, Colorado, is suggested in the following listing of the divisions and sections engaged in technical work. In general, each section carries out specialized research, development, and engineering in the field indicated by its title. A brief description of the activities, and of the resultant publications, appears on the inside of the front cover.

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Electricity. Resistance and Reactance. Electrochemistry. Electrical Instruments. Magnetic Measurements. Dielectrics. High Voltage.

Metrology. Photometry and Colorimetry. Refractometry. Photographic Research. Length. Engineering Metrology. Mass and Scale. Volumetry and Densimetry.

Heat. Temperature Physics. Heat Measurements. Cryogenic Physics. Equation of State. Statistical Physics.

Radiation Physics. X-ray. Radioactivity. Radiation Theory. High Energy Radiation. Radiological Equipment. Nucleonic Instrumentation. Neutron Physics.

Analytical and Inorganic Chemistry. Pure Substances. Spectrochemistry. Solution Chemistry. Standard Reference Materials. Applied Analytical Research. Crystal Chemistry.

Mechanics. Sound. Pressure and Vacuum. Fluid Mechanics. Engineering Mechanics. Rheology. Combustion Controls.

Polymers. Macromolecules: Synthesis and Structure. Polymer Chemistry. Polymer Physics. Polymer Characterization. Polymer Evaluation and Testing. Applied Polymer Standards and Research. Dental Research.

Metallurgy. Engineering Metallurgy. Microscopy and Diffraction. Metal Reactions. Metal Physics. Electrolysis and Metal Deposition.

Inorganic Solids. Engineering Ceramics. Glass. Solid State Chemistry. Crystal Growth. Physical Properties. Crystallography.

Building Research. Structural Engineering. Fire Research. Mechanical Systems. Organic Building Materials. Codes and Safety Standards. Heat Transfer. Inorganic Building Materials. Metallic Building Materials.

Applied Mathematics. Numerical Analysis. Computation. Statistical Engineering. Mathematical Physics. Operations Research.

Data Processing Systems. Components and Techniques. Computer Technology. Measurements Automation. Engineering Applications. Systems Analysis.

Atomic Physics. Spectroscopy. Infrared Spectroscopy. Far Ultraviolet Physics. Solid State Physics. Electron Physics. Atomic Physics. Plasma Spectroscopy.

Instrumentation. Engineering Electronics. Electron Devices. Electronic Instrumentation. Mechanical Instruments. Basic Instrumentation.

Physical Chemistry. Thermochemistry. Surface Chemistry. Organic Chemistry. Molecular Spectroscopy. Elementary Processes. Mass Spectrometry. Photochemistry and Radiation Chemistry.

Office of Weights and Measures.

BOULDER, COLO.

Cryogenic Engineering Laboratory. Cryogenic Equipment. Cryogenic Processes. Properties of Materials. Cryogenic Technical Services.

CENTRAL RADIO PROPAGATION LABORATORY

Ionosphere Research and Propagation. Low Frequency and Very Low Frequency Research. Ionosphere Research. Prediction Services. Sun-Earth Relationships. Field Engineering. Radio Warning Services. Vertical Soundings Research.

Radio Propagation Engineering. Data Reduction Instrumentation. Radio Noise. Tropospheric Measurements. Tropospheric Analysis. Propagation-Terrain Effects. Radio-Meteorology. Lower Atmosphere Physics.

Radio Systems. Applied Electromagnetic Theory. High Frequency and Very High Frequency Research. Frequency Utilization. Modulation Research. Antenna Research. Radiodetermination.

Upper Atmosphere and Space Physics. Upper Atmosphere and Plasma Physics. High Latitude Ionosphere Physics. Ionosphere and Exosphere Scatter. Airglow and Aurora. Ionospheric Radio Astronomy.

RADIO STANDARDS LABORATORY

Radio Physics. Radio Broadcast Service. Radio and Microwave Materials. Atomic Frequency and Time-Interval Standards. Radio Plasma. Millimeter-Wave Research.

Circuit Standards. High Frequency Electrical Standards. High Frequency Calibration Services. High Frequency Impedance Standards. Microwave Calibration Services. Microwave Circuit Standards. Low Frequency Calibration Services.

NBS